

Telecommunications Technological Centre of Catalonia

Christos Verikoukis, PhD Senior Research Associate

Barcelona 22/4/08

# 2



## **CTTC** facts and figures

#### CTTC (www.cttc.es)

- Non profit private organization. Mainly founded by the Catalan government
- Staff: 15 Administrative and direction, 21 PhD researchers, 17 Telecom Engineers, 2 Post doc, 7 Associate researchers, 14 PhD students
- Research Areas: Radio Communications, Access Technologies, IP Technologies, Optical Networking and Communications Subsystems

#### ACCESS TECHNOLOGIES AREA (www.cttc.es/wiki/access)

- Staff: 5 PhD researchers, 1 Telecom Engineer
- Publications (1Q 2003 1Q 2008): 16 in journals, 5 book chapters, 60 in conferences
- Participation in projects
  - 16 European (IST, ICT, MEDEA+, CELTIC and ESA): PHYDIAS, WIP, NEWCOM++, ACE/ACE2, NEWCOM, WINNER, WIDENS, LOOP, WISQUAS, PLANETS, MARQUIS, MIMOWA, ESA MIMOSAT, ESoA, DYNAMO-COST295
  - 2 National (CICYT): Perseo, ULTRA-MED
  - **5** Industrial Contracts
  - 1 Internal (strategic)
- Graduate and undergraduate training
  - 7 PhD Students
  - 6 Master Theses (20 completed Theses)



#### CTTC CEntre Tecnològic

Tecnològic de Telecomunicacions de Catalunya

## **Access Technologies**

#### **Research Lines**

- PHY-MAC Resource Allocation algorithms and protocols in Wireless Networks (ad-hoc, sensor, relay, infrastructure).
  - Distributed, multi-radio and cooperative MAC protocols.
  - Cross-Layer power allocation mechanisms with throughput, delay and QoS constraints.
  - Cross-Layer multiuser scheduling for SISO/MIMO systems.
  - Radio Resource Management schemes based on Cross-Layer for a distributed queuing MAC protocol.
  - MAC-level misbehavior mechanisms for cooperative systems.
  - Resource Allocation for Beyond 3G Systems.
    - Adaptive multi-carrier schemes and Beyond 3G systems.
    - Spectrum allocation and cognitive radio.
- Multi-terminal Communications
  - Cooperative communications; capacity limits, and applications for mesh and sensor networks.
  - Cross-layer integration and network coding.

#### **Technologies Considered**

- WiMax (IEEE802.16)
- WiFi (IEEE802.11x)
- WSN (IEEE802.15.4)



#### Outline

- Introduction
- 802.11n at a glance
- History of TGn
- 802.11n Characteristics
- 802.11n Certification
- 802.11n Electricity Issues



Centre Tecnològic

de Catalunya

de Telecomunicacions







#### Introduction









#### "Pervasive Collaborative Computing"



Faster and More Pervasive



More Secure



More Deployable and Manageable



Ease At Home





#### **The Wireless Space**





Centre

Tecnològic de Telecomunicacions de Catalunya

#### What end-users want

- Range: reliable wireless networking.
- High fidelity: good Quality of Service for high quality audio and video.
- **Throughput!** 
  - WLAN in the:
    - home
    - small business
    - enterprise
    - education
    - healthcare ۲
    - universities
    - hospitality
    - . . . . . .

#### 802.11x Working Groups





CTTC Centre Tecnològic de Telecomunicacions

de Catalunya



#### 802.11 Standards

W-LAN Standard	802.11b	802.11a	802.11g
Band	2.4 GHz	5 GHz	2.4 GHz
Max Speed	11 Mbps	54 Mbps	54 Mbps
Market Acceptance	Fully commercially available	Market acceptance still unknown	Fully commercially available
Strengths	<ul> <li>Wide acceptance</li> <li>Interoperability</li> <li>Low installation costs</li> <li>Fully supported by many hardware and software vendors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Uses 5 GHz band (less congestions)</li> <li>Increased transmission speed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Increased transmission speed</li> <li>Backwards- Compatibility with 802.11b</li> </ul>
Weaknesses	<ul> <li>Poor security</li> <li>Relatively low</li> <li>speed</li> <li>High</li> <li>concentration &amp;</li> <li>congestion in the</li> <li>2.4 GHz band</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Does not offer backwards compatibility (namely with 802.11b)</li> <li>Relatively poor security</li> </ul>	•Uses crowded 2.4 GHz band







#### 802.11n at a glance



#### IEEE 802.11n WG

Develop next generation Wi-Fi capable of much higher throughputs, with a maximum throughput of at least 100Mbps, as measured at the MAC data service access point.

Centre Tecnològic de Telecomunicacions de Catalunya



- Modifications to both the 802.11 physical layers (PHY) and the 802.11 Medium Access Control Layer (MAC) to support high throughput.
- Evaluation metrics: throughput, range, network capacity, (peak and average power consumption), spectral flexibility, backward compatibility, and coexistence (3 channel models).



## New components in 802.11n

- **PHY Enhancements**, applicable to both 2.4GHz and 5GHz
  - Multiple Input Multiple Output (MIMO) Radio Technology With Spatial Multiplexing.
  - High throughput PHY 40 MHz channels Two adjacent 20 MHz channels are combined to create a single 40 MHz channel.

#### **MAC Enhancements**

- Two MAC aggregation methods are supported to efficiently pack smaller packets into a single MPDU.
- Block Acknowledgement A performance optimization in which an IEEE 802.11 ACK frame need not follow every unicast frame and combined acknowledgements may be sent at a later point in time.
- Reduce Interframe Spacing.



MIMO

Multiple independent data streams are sent between the transmit and receive antennas to deliver more bits in the specified bandwidth.





de Telecomunicacions

Centre Tecnològic

de Catalunya

Cross-paths between antennas are automatically decoded by the receiver, assuming sufficient "richness" in the propagation environment.





Centre Tecnològic

## Aggregated MPDU (A-MPDU)

- Multiple MDPUs (MAC data frames) are joined in a single PSDU.
  - Multiple frames under the same PHY header
  - All MPDUs must have the same receiver.
  - Errors in one MPDU do not imply the loss of all MPDUs (robust delimiting by the CRC field).

Length

CRC

MPDU

MPDU Delimiter

(4 bytes)







#### Benefits from 802.11n

#### Increased capacity.

- 802.11n enables increased data rates, improving the usable data capacity of a cell.
- Improved range.
  - More uniform 'reliable' coverage.
    - Reduce the effect of multipath nulls.

#### Lower Network Costs.

 Fewer APs, lower installation costs, possibly fewer LAN edge switch ports, and fewer outdoor APs to cover campus areas between buildings.







#### History of TGn



de Telecomunicacions de Catalunya

Centre Tecnològic

## History of TGn

- HTSG formed First meeting (Sep. 2002 Monterey).
- TGn formed First meeting (Sep. 2003 Singapore).
- Began call for proposals (May 2004 Garden Grove).
- 32 First round presentations (Sep. 2004 Berlin).
- Discussion of these 32 proposals. The majority of time was spent discussing the 4 complete proposals: MITMOT, TGnSync, WWiSE, and Qualcomm. (Nov. 2004, S.Antonio).
  - **MITMOT 47.4%** ٠
  - TGnSync 73.7%
  - WWiSE 64.7%
  - Qualcomm 56.8%

The threshold for further consideration was 25%.

Three complete proposals MITMOT, TGnSync (After 14 hours of Qualcom and Mitsubishi merged with TGn Sync) and WWiSE were presented presentations and discussion a down select vote was held that resulted in the elimination of the MITMOT proposal (January 2005, California).



2

-

**N E** 

#### TGSync vs. WWISE

	Features	TGn Sync	WWISE
	Bandwidth	<ul><li>(M) 20MHz mode</li><li>(M) 40MHz, whenever</li><li>regulatory domain permits</li><li>this extension</li></ul>	(M) 20 MHz mode (O) 40 MHz mode
	MIMO-OFDM-SDM	(M) 2 spatial streams @ 20MHz mode	(M) 2 spatial streams @ 20MHz mode
	Higher code rate (R)	(M) $R = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{7}{8}$	(M) $R = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{6}$
IS	Regular coding scheme	(M) Convolutional code	(M) Convolutional code
	Advanced Coding scheme	(O) LDPC	(O) LDPC
	Space Time Block Code	(N)	(0)



## History of TGn

- Down selected to one proposal (Mar 2005 Atlanta) –first confirm vote failed.
- Confirmation vote #2 failed reset to 3 proposals -left the May 2005 meeting with a serious deadlock. (Cairns, Queensland Australia).
- 3 proposal groups agreed to a joint proposal activity (Jul 2005 San Francisco)
- Joint Proposal accepted by vote of 184/0/4, editor instructed to create draft (Jan 2006 Waikoloa).
- Baseline specification converted into **Draft 1.0 (335p)**. Letter ballot issued (LB84) March 2006 (Denver) and closed on April 2006 (failed to get 75% of the votes).
- Draft 1.0 Comment resolution begins (May 2006 Jacksonville).
  - To expedite the process the comments were grouped into 8 topic areas: PHY, MAC, PSMP, Coexistence, Editorial, Coexistence Assurance, Frame Format & General. Using this method it was possible to conduct several discussions in parallel.
- Approved 6711 editorial and 1041 technical resolutions; **Created D1.03** (Jul 2006 San Diego).
- Approved 568 technical resolutions (Sep 2006 Melbourne); Created D1.06 (388p).
- Approved 703 technical resolutions (Nov 2006 Dallas); Created D1.09 (444p).
- Approved 496 technical resolutions (Jan 2007 London); created D1.10 (500p); went to WG letter ballot Feb 7, 2007 with D 2.0; closed March 2007.





## History of TGn

- LB97 on TGn D2.0 passed with 83.4% approval. (Mar '07 Orlando) Began comment resolution on with target of Draft 3.0 completion and release to ballot in Sep 2007.
- Approved 1470 editorial resolutions and approved TGn D2.02. Also approved 450 technical comment resolutions. (May 2007 Montreal) Cumulative insertion of resolutions contained in TGn D2.04. (494p).
- Approved 750 technical resolutions and approved **TGn D2.05** (July 2007) San Francisco) Cumulative insertion of resolutions now contained in TGn draft 2.07. (498p).
- Approved 507 technical resolutions and approved recirculation ballot for TGn D3.0 (544p). (Sep 07 Waikoloa) Recirculation passed.
- Approved 282 editorial resolutions and approved TGn draft 3.01. Approved 97 technical resolutions. (Nov 07 Atlanta) Cumulative insertion of resolutions now contained in TGn D3.02. (558p).
- Approved 313 technical comment resolutions (Jan 08 Taipei). Cumulative approved comments now in **D3.03**. Additional ad hoc comment resolutions contained in speculative edits **D3.04**, **D3.05**, **D3.06**.



## History of TGn

Bits in QoS Control field		Maarina	
Bit 5	Bit 6	Meaning	
0	0	Normal Ack or Implicit Block Ack Request.	
		In an MPDU that is a non-AMPDU frame: The addressed recipient returns an ACK or QoS +CF-Ack frame after a short interframe space (SIFS) period, according to the procedures defined in 9.2.8, 9.3.3 and 9.9.2.3. The Ack Policy subfield is set to this value in all directed frames in which the sender requires acknowledgment. For QoS Null (no data) frames, this is the only permissible value for the Ack Policy subfield. In an MPDU that is part of an A-MPDU: The addressed recipient returns a BlockAck MPDU, either individually or as p of an A-MPDU starting a SIFS after the PPDU carrying the frame, according the procedures defined in 9.2.8a (BlockAck procedure), 9.10.7.5 (Generation a transmission of BlockAck by an HT STA), 9.10.8.3 (Operation of HT-delayed Block Ack), 9.14.3 (Rules for the RD initiator), 9.14.4 (Rules for the RD	

10

6

Sec.

🔰 🗃

#### **802.11n Timeline Events**

>	EVENT NAME	CURRENTLY PUBLISHED DATE	
		ACTUAL	PREDICTED
N/X	PAR Approved	Sep '03	
	Initial WG Letter Ballot	Mar '06	
	Recirculation WG Letter Ballot	Oct '07	
	Form Sponsor Ballot Pool		Mar '08
Tecnològic de Telecomunicacions de Catalunya	Initial Sponsor Ballot		Jul '08
The second second	<b>Recirculation Sponsor Ballot</b>		Nov '08
	Final WG Approval		Mar '09
	Final EC Approval		Mar '09
2	RevCom/ Stds Board Approval		Jun '09
	Publication		Jul '09





## 

#### **802.11n Characteristics**







#### **PHY Mandatory Features**

- Mandatory Features.
  - Spatial Division Multiplexing through MIMO.
  - 16 Modulation and Coding Schemes (MCS).
  - 1 and 2 spatial streams.
  - 800ns GI (guard interval).
  - 20 MHz Channel Width.
  - Legacy and Mixed mode frame format. ۲
  - Channel Sounding.
  - RIFS (Reduced Interframe Space). ۲







#### **PHY Optional Features**

- Optional Features.
  - Up to 4 spatial streams.
  - 40/20 MHz Channel Width support.
  - Short GI (400ns).
  - Spatial Mapping.
    - Transmit Beam forming.
    - Spatial Expansion.
    - STBC (Space-Time Block Code).
  - Green Field frame formats.
  - Advanced Coding.
  - Low Density Parity Check Code.







#### **Bandwidth** expansion

- Legacy 20MHz.
  - 52 subcarriers (48 data, 4 pilot).
- HT 20MHz
  - 4 additional subcarriers, 56 in total (52 data, 4 pilot).
  - Rate Increased by 8% (4/48).
  - HT 40MHz.
    - Two adjacent 20 MHz.
    - 10 additional subcarriers, 114 in total.
    - Remove 2 pilot subcarriers (108 data, 6 pilot).
    - Rate increased by a factor of 2.25 (108/48) with respect to the legacy 20MHz channel.



CTTC Centre Tecnològic de Telecomunicacions

de Catalunya



#### **Operation Modes**

- The PHY has three operation modes:
  - Legacy mode (mandatory).
    - Packets transmitted in legacy 802.11a/g format.
  - Mixed mode (mandatory).
    - Packets are transmitted with a preamble compatible with the legacy 802.11a/g which can be decoded by legacy 802.11a/g devices. The rest of the packet has a new format.
    - The receiver shall be able to decode both legacy and mixed mode formats.
  - Green Field (optional).
    - High Throughput (HT) packets are transmitted without legacy compatible part.
    - The receiver shall be able to decode all three formats.



Centre

Tecnològic de Telecomunicacions de Catalunya



## **MAC Mandatory Features**

- Frame Aggregation.
  - A-MPDU (many frames under one PHY header).
  - A-MSDU (many frames under one PHY/MAC header).
- Block ACK.
  - N-Immediate
  - Implicit.
  - Compressed bit map.
- Protection Mechanisms.
  - Long NAV.
  - PHY level spoofing.
  - **RIFS** Protection.
  - Green Field Protection.
- MIMO power save.
- 20/40 coexistence.
- Open & CCMP Security Mode (WPA2).



CTTC Centre Tecnològic

de Telecomunicacions de Catalunya



## **MAC Optional Features**

- Block ACK.
  - Delayed Block Ack.
- Protection Mechanisms.
  - L-SIG TXOP protection.
- Reverse Direction.
- PSMP (Power Save Multi-poll).
- PCO (Phased Coexistence Operation).
- Fast Link Adaptation.
- PHY related.
  - Transmit Beam forming.
  - ZLF (Zero Length Frame) Sounding.
  - Calibration.
  - Antenna Selection.
  - STBC Control Frames.





## 

#### 802.11n Certification





### 802.11n Certification

- In October 2004, the Wi-Fi Alliance announced that it would not certify IEEE 802.11n products until IEEE ratification.
  - Reflecting its support for standards-based technology.
  - Expectation at the time was that IEEE would ratify by March 2007.
  - However, the situation is different:
    - Expected final ratification has been delayed.
    - Pre-standard IEEE 802.11n products are shipping, and tens of millions more are expected to enter the market in 2007 (*ABI Research*).
    - Some pre-standard products are reaching a level of maturity where interoperability testing adds value.



**Certification Plan - Phase 1** 

Wi-Fi Alliance has introduced a certification program for pre-standard IEEE 802.11n products in the first half of 2007 to help ensure interoperability for a baseline set of features in the short term.



Centre Tecnològic

de Telecomunicacions de Catalunya









#### **Certification Plan - Phase 2**

- The Wi-Fi Alliance plans to certify products based on the final IEEE 802.11n standard when it is ratified, supporting what they expect to be a larger set of features and a fully reviewed and interoperable standards based solution.
  - When a full IEEE 802.11n standard is available, WFA will work toward support of compatibility with prestandard certified products to help ensure positive user experience.
  - The maturity of baseline features in the pre-standard certification diminishes the risk that certified pre-standard products will not comply with the final IEEE 802.11n standard.





CTTC Centre Centre

Tecnològic de Telecomunicacions de Catalunya



#### **Certified 802.11n products**

260 product(s) meet your search criteria. New Search | Simple Search

$\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$			
3COM			
Product	Date Certified	Category	
<u>3Com Wireless 11n USB Adapter</u>	02/20/2008	External Wi-Fi Adapter Card	View Wi-Fi Certifications
<u>3Com Wireless 11n PCI Card</u>	02/20/2008	Internal Wi-Fi Adapter Card	View Wi-Fi Certifications
anic Navigator of Digital Life			
Product	Date Certified	Category	
Wireless Broadband 11n Router	12/31/2007	Access Point for Home or Small Office	View Wi-Fi Certifications
Ú			
Product	Date Certified	Category	
<u>AirPort Extreme Base Station with 802.11n (Gigabit Ethernet)</u>	08/15/2007	Access Point for Home or Small Office	Certifications
<u>AirPort Extreme Base Station with 802.11n (Fast Ethernet)</u>	08/23/2007	Access Point for Home or Small Office	View Wi-Fi Certifications
<u>Time Capsule</u>	03/20/2008	Access Point for Home or Small Office	View Wi-Fi Certifications
<u>AirPort Express with 802.11n</u>	03/31/2008	Access Point for Home or Small	View Wi-Fi Certifications







#### **Certified 802.11n products**

Wi-Fi <sup>®</sup> Interoperability Ce	ertificate		Certification ID: WFA5439
	This certificate indicate interoperability testing	es the capabilities and features by the Wi-Fi Alliance. You may w.wi-fi.org/certification_progra	that successfully completed find detailed descriptions of ms.php.
CERTIFIED®	Certificate Date: Category: Company: Product: Model/SKU#:	August 15, 2007 Access Point for Home or Small Office (Wireless Router) Apple AirPort Extreme Base Station with 802.11n (Gigabit Ethernet) MB053	
	This product has pa standards:	ssed Wi-Fi certification tes	ting for the following
IEEE Standard	Security	Multimedia	
802.11a	WPA™ - Personal	WMM®	
802.11b	WPA™ - Enterprise		
802.11g	WPA2™ - Personal		
802.11n draπ 2.0	WPA2 *** - Enterprise		
	EAP Type(s)		
	EAP-TLS		
	EAP-TTLS/MSCHAPv2		
	PEAPv0/EAP-MSCHAPv2		
	PEAPv1/EAP-GTC		
	EAP-SIM		
For more information: www.wi-fi.org/certification_programs.php			





#### **Certified 802.11n products**

 When the final standard is ratified the Wi-Fi Alliance plans to support backward compatibility with prestandard certified products.

• Wi-Fi CERTIFIED 802.11n draft 2.0 gear İS backward-compatible with Wi-Fi CERTIFIED 802.11 a/b/g gear that operates in the same frequency bands.

should seek Wi-Fi CERTIFIED® ●Wi-Fi buyers products that meet their needs.





#### 802.11n Electricity Issues







#### **Electricity issues**

• Most 802.11n systems due to multi radio require more power than a typical Ethernet switch—based on the 802.3af standard—can provide.

• Current PoE (Power over Ethernet) specifies a maximum device support of no more than 12.95 watts, therefore any device powered by PoE should not consume more than that. But 802.11n access points use 15 to 18 watts→ reduce functionality and lose 40%.





### **Electricity issues**

• Siemens cracks 802.11n power problem (Siemens has entered the 802.11n (3x3MIMO) that doesn't require a power upgrade.

• Cisco's solution involves injecting additional wattage onto an AP's wired connection, either through 1250-specific power injectors or the enhanced PoE capabilities available in its flagship Catalyst 3750-E and 3560-E switches.

• New standard 802at.







#### Links of interest

- 802.11n Standardization Group http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/11/
- Wi-Fi Alliance http://www.wi-fi.org/

• CTTC

www.cttc.es

• Access Technologies Area www.cttc.es/wiki/access





#### Thanks for your kind attention!

#### Christos Verikoukis, Ph.D.

Senior Research Associate **Telecommunications Technological Center of Catalonia** Mediterranean Technological Park Av. del Canal Olímpic, s/n 08860 Castelldefels – Spain Web page:www.cttc.es/wiki/access/ index.php/Christos\_Verikoukis e-mail:cveri@cttc.es







#### **Additional slides**

#### **Functional Requirements**

Requirement	Description	
HT rate supported in 20MHz channel	at least one mode of operation supports 100Mbps throughput at the top of the MAC SAP in a 20 MHz channel	
Works in the 5 GHz bands	Protocol supports 5GHz bands (including those supported by .11a)	
.11a backwards compatibility	Some of the modes of operation defined in the proposal should be backwards compatible with .11a	
.11g backwards compatibility	in 2.4 GHz, some of the modes of operation defined in the proposal should be backwards compatible with .11g	

Centre Tecnològic

de Telecomunicacions de Catalunya

-



#### **Functional Requirements**

Requirement	Description
.11e QoS support	The proposal must permit implementation of the 802.11e options within a .11n STA
Spectral Efficiency	The highest throughput mode of the proposal should achieve a spectral efficiency of at least 3 bps/Hz for the PSDU
Control of support for legacy STA from .11n AP	A .11n AP can be configured to reject or accept associations from legacy STA because they are legacy STA